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We present the theoretical analysis and computer simulation of the wakefields in a 17 GHz Photonic Band Gap (PBG) structure for accelerator applications. Using the commercial code CST Particle Studio, the fundamental accelerating mode and dipole modes are excited by passing an 18 MeV electron beam through a seven-cell traveling wave PBG structure. The characteristics of the longitudinal and transverse wakefields, wake potential spectrum, dipole mode distribution and their quality factors are calculated and analyzed theoretically. Unlike in conventional disk-loaded waveguide (DLW) structures, three dipole modes (TM-like, TM2-like and TM3-like) are excited in the PBG structure with comparable initial amplitudes. These modes are separated by less than 4 GHz in frequency and are damped quickly due to low radiative Q factors. Simulations verify that a PBG structure provides wakefield damping relative to a DLW structure. Simulations were done with both single-bunch excitation to determine the frequency spectrum of the wakefields and multi-bunch excitation to compare to wakefield measurements taken at MIT using a 17 GHz bunch train. These simulation results will guide the design of next-generation high gradient accelerator PBG structures.

PACS numbers: 29.17.+w

I. INTRODUCTION

Future high-energy accelerators will require high-gradient electromagnetic fields to accelerate the electron beam to obtain high luminosity. Besides the accelerating mode, high order modes (HOMs) are excited in the accelerator cavity which will affect the electron beam and decrease the accelerating efficiency and beam stability. The electromagnetic fields generated in the accelerator structure by passing charged particles are called wakefields. Wakefield damping is an important consideration for the design of future high-gradient accelerator structures. Many innovative methods have been proposed to provide sufficient wakefield damping for high energy accelerators. These methods include: HOM damping couplers [1], choke-mode structures [2, 3], damped, detuned structures (DDS) [4, 5], CLIC structure with waveguide (DLW) structures, three dipole modes (TM-like, TM2-like and TM3-like) are excited in the PBG structure with comparable initial amplitudes. These modes are separated by less than 4 GHz in frequency and are damped quickly due to low radiative Q factors. Simulations verify that a PBG structure provides wakefield damping relative to a DLW structure. Simulations were done with both single-bunch excitation to determine the frequency spectrum of the wakefields and multi-bunch excitation to compare to wakefield measurements taken at MIT using a 17 GHz bunch train. These simulation results will guide the design of next-generation high gradient accelerator PBG structures.

\[
W_z(r', r, s) = \sum_{m=0, n=1}^{\infty} 2 \left( \frac{r'}{d} \right)^m \left( \frac{r}{d} \right)^m \cos(m\theta)
\]

\[
k_{zmn}(d) \cos \left( \frac{\omega_{mn}s}{c} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\omega_{mn}s}{2Q_{mn}c} \right)
\]

\[
W_L(r', r, s) = \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} 2m \left( \frac{r'}{d} \right)^m \left( \frac{r}{d} \right)^{m-1} \sin \left( \frac{\omega_{mn}s}{c} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\omega_{mn}s}{2Q_{mn}c} \right)
\]

where $s$ is the distance behind the driving bunch, $r'$ is the displacement of the driving bunch, $r$ is the test beam transverse position, $d$ is the radius of the iris aperture, $k_{zmn}$ is the loss factor, $k_{Lmn}$ is the kick factor, $\omega_{mn}$ is the frequency, $c$ is the speed of light and $Q_{mn}$ is the quality factor of the $TM_{mn}$ mode. In Eq. 1:
where $V_{mn}$ is the voltage along one period and $U_{mn}$ is the stored energy in the $TM_{mn}$ mode. From Eq. 1 we can see that the initial transverse wake potential depends on the kick factor $k_{\perp mn}$, and damping is induced by the damping factor $\exp(-\omega_{mn}s/2Q_{mn}c)$. Low initial transverse wake potential can be reached by decreasing the kick factors of the modes, but effective wake potential damping can be achieved primarily by decreasing the quality factor $Q_{mn}$.

The longitudinal and transverse wake potentials are described in the time domain. We define corresponding longitudinal and transverse impedances for the frequency domain description [16]:

$$Z_z(\omega) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_z(s)e^{-j\omega s/c}ds$$

$$Z_\perp(\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_\perp(s)e^{-j\omega s/c}ds$$

The above formulas can be used to analytically calculate the wake potentials in right-cylinder structures in which the electromagnetic fields can be expressed in a closed form, such as pillbox resonators [18] and disk-loaded waveguide structures [19, 20] with excitation by a point charge [21] or charged rings [22].

The frequency selectivity of photonic band gap (PBG) structures makes them appealing for wakefield damping in accelerator applications. By introducing a defect in the center of a triangular lattice of metallic rods, a PBG cavity can be formed which confines a particular operating mode and lets other modes radiate through the lattice. This radiative damping decreases the Q-factor of the dipole modes, making the wake damping faster. This kind of PBG structure has been extensively researched theoretically and experimentally [23–25]. A 17 GHz six-cell traveling wave PBG accelerator structure has previously been designed, simulated and tested at the MIT PSFC [8, 9].

Previous theoretical work suggested that HOMs are not supported in PBG structures [8]. Later theoretical and experimental research indicated that HOMs do exist in PBG structures, but with low Q and high loss [9].

Previous experimental work has shown that PBG structures composed entirely of round rods can operate at gradients above 80 MV/m [26]. This performance can be improved by giving the rods closest to the defect region elliptical cross sections, while keeping the other rods round. A PBG structure with this rod configuration has been shown to operate at a gradient above 125 MV/m [27].

II. WAKE POTENTIAL CALCULATION

The PBG structure with three rows of metallic rods is shown in Fig. 1 and the dimensions of the PBG structure structures, which in turn will guide the design of future high-gradient PBG structures for testing with and without beam.

In this paper, we report the results of PIC simulations of the wake potential in a seven-cell 17 GHz PBG structure based on the structure tested at MIT. Both six and seven cell structures were simulated, with the seven-cell simulation showing more initial promise. While the results presented here are for the seven cell structure, all the wakefield results have been reproduced using a six cell model. In both cases the model is simplified from the structure tested at MIT; the CST models all have uniform cells while the MIT experimental structure has coupling cells and waveguides forming the first and sixth cells.

The results from CST Particle Studio show that there are three dipole-like modes: $TM_{11}$-like, $TM_{12}$-like, and $TM_{13}$-like, which are excited by an off-axis electron beam. In this paper, the notation of $TM_{mn}$ means that the mode has $m$ azimuthal variations and $n$ radial variations in the entire PBG cross-section, not just in the defect area. The total wake potential and the characteristics of each dipole mode are analyzed. An equivalent disk-loaded waveguide structure is also simulated and the wakefields are compared to the PBG structure, showing that the PBG structure damps the transverse wakefield effectively. The structure of this paper is: the wake potential and spectrum calculation is in Section II, the analysis of the dipole modes is shown in Section III, Section IV demonstrates the comparison results between PBG and DLW structures, multi-bunch simulation and beam loading calculation are described in Section V, and finally the paper is concluded in Section VI.
are shown in Table I. All the parameters of this 17 GHz PBG structure come from the traveling-wave PBG structure design [8] which has been tested at MIT [9]. Wake potentials and wake potential impedances are calculated per meter of structure length. The Wakefield module in CST Particle Studio is used to calculate the longitudinal and transverse wake potentials. The simulations considered both the ohmic loss due to the finite conductivity of the copper structure and diffractive losses due to power incident on the absorptive boundary layer surrounding the structure. In the experimental test of the structure the diffracted power was dissipated by diffuse reflections in a large external vacuum chamber; dissipation of this power in an accelerator structure represents a future engineering challenge.

For single-bunch measurements, an electron beam of zero transverse dimension and a 1 mm Gaussian length is injected into the beam tunnel with a 0.8 mm displacement in the x direction, as shown in Fig. 1. We have also calculated the wake potentials with the same beam displacement in the y direction, and the results are the same.

The longitudinal and transverse wake potential are shown as a function of distance behind the bunch in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 3(a). The longitudinal and transverse wake potential impedances are obtained to analyze frequency domain features in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3(b).

The longitudinal wake potential calculated on the beam track decays slowly, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The normalized frequency spectrum of the longitudinal wake potential shows that the fundamental mode has three peaks at 17.002 GHz, 17.092 GHz and 17.176 GHz, as shown in top right of Fig. 2(b). These peaks reveal the different phase advances \(0, \pi/3, 2\pi/3\) of the fundamental mode excited by a single bunch in the 7-cell PBG structure which agree with the previous theoretical analysis and the cold test in [8, 28]. The fundamental frequency resolution in the spectrum is 6 MHz which is limited by the finite simulation length. The dipole modes do not contribute to the longitudinal wake because the longitudinal electric fields of dipole modes are zero in the center, so there is no peak.

The transverse wake potential, shown in Fig. 3(a), can be seen to damp much faster than the longitudinal wake potential. The transverse wake potential damps quickly because its main contributions come from the dipole modes and the dipole modes have small Q-factors. The fundamental mode present in the frequency spectrum of the transverse wake potential is formed due to the irises and confined in the defect area. The high Q-factor of the \(TM_{01}\) mode keeps the transverse wake potential at a small but stable value even 50000 mm behind the electron beam. Three dipole modes are excited at 23.0 GHz, 24.8 GHz and 27.5 GHz with comparable initial amplitudes. The field distributions of these modes are shown in Fig. 4. These modes are distinguished as \(TM_{11}\)-like, \(TM_{12}\)-like and \(TM_{13}\)-like modes separately and all of these modes are not confined within the defect region, but radiate away from the center to the outer boundary. The detailed analysis of the dipole modes will be given in Sec. III.

**TABLE I: Dimensions of the PBG structure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rod radius, a</td>
<td>1.04 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod spacing, b</td>
<td>6.97 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris thickness, t</td>
<td>1.14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris radius, d</td>
<td>2.16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity length, L</td>
<td>5.83 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TM_{01}) mode frequency</td>
<td>17.14 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating mode</td>
<td>(2\pi/3) mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3: Calculation of transverse wake potential and impedance: (a) Transverse wake potential on a line parallel to the structure centerline and displaced 0.8 mm in the x direction, i.e. following the path of the beam; (b) Transverse wake potential impedance.

### III. DIPOLE MODE ANALYSIS

Fig. 3(a) shows that the transverse wake potential in the PBG structure is quickly damped. The transverse wake is dominated by three dipole modes, with similar initial amplitudes and with frequencies within 4 GHz of each other. This indicates that the previous approach of considering only the lowest-frequency dipole mode is insufficient for predicting the transverse wake potential.

To isolate the contribution to the wake potential from each dipole mode independently, numerical filters were used to separate the different modes within the wake potential. The filtering was done in Matlab using the digital signal processing toolbox. For each frequency a band-pass filter was applied in frequency space. An inverse fast Fourier transform was then applied to the filtered spectra to obtain the time-domain signal showing the damping for each dipole mode.

Fig. 4 shows the separate frequency components of the transverse wake potential versus time ($t = s/c$) and the field distribution at each dipole mode frequency. The fundamental mode (Fig. 4(a)) is confined in the defect region in a PBG cavity with a high Q-factor, providing a small, slow-decaying transverse wake potential. The transverse electric field component of the $TM_{01}$ mode is caused by the irises. The beating visible in the fundamental mode is caused by the different fundamental modes shown in Fig. 2(b).

The lowest-frequency $TM_{11}$-like dipole mode (Fig. 4(b)) decays more slowly than the other dipole modes. The $TM_{12}$-like dipole mode (Fig. 4(c)) reaches a maximum amplitude a short time after the bunch passes and decays faster than the $TM_{11}$-like mode. The $TM_{13}$-like mode (Fig. 4(d)) has the highest frequency and is excited with less than half of the intensity of the previous dipole modes and decays faster than either of the lower-frequency dipole modes. The relative intensity of these dipole modes agrees well with the wake potential spectrum shown in Fig. 3(b).

From Eq. 1, the decay of the wake potential is related to the damping factor $e^{-\omega_{mn} s / 2Q_{mn} c}$. Because the frequencies of these dipole modes are close, the quality factor of each mode is the dominant contributor to the damping of each mode.

To calculate the quality factor of each mode, eigenmode simulations are run with the same simulation environment as in CST Particle Studio, i.e. a lossy copper structure with an absorbing boundary layer located outside of the PBG lattice. Because CST Microwave Studio does not support the use of absorptive boundary layers in eigenmode simulations, the Ansys code HFSS, which does support absorptive boundary layers in eigenmode simulations, is used instead. All three dipole modes are found to have a phase advance per cell of approximately $\pi$ in the CST simulation, so the phase advance per cell is set to $2\pi/3$ for the fundamental mode and $\pi$ for the dipole modes in the HFSS simulations.

The results of the eigenmode calculations in HFSS are shown in Table II. The quality factors in Table II contain both the ohmic losses and diffractive losses in the structure. The frequencies of the modes in the HFSS simulations agree well with the frequencies observed in the CST simulations.

The HFSS results provide good qualitative agreement with the damping times of the respective dipole modes calculated in the CST simulation. The $1/e$ distance of the wake potential, $(2Q_{mn} c / \omega_{mn})$, from Eq. 1, is calculated for each mode from the Q-factor and $\omega$ obtained.
FIG. 4: Transverse wake potential as a function of time for each mode in the PBG structure: (a) $T_{M01}$-like mode at 17.1 GHz; (b) $T_{M11}$-like mode at 23.0 GHz; (c) $T_{M12}$-like mode at 24.8 GHz; (d) $T_{M13}$-like mode at 27.5 GHz.

from HFSS. The $1/e$ distance is also calculated from the decay distance of the wakes observed in CST. These two values of $1/e$ distance are shown in columns 3 and 4 of Table II. The two different simulation techniques give reasonable agreement between the decay distances for each mode. This validates the use of simple single-cell eigenmode models to predict approximate decay distances for modes excited by the beam.

Unlike in conventional disk-loaded waveguide structures, the different dipole modes in the PBG structure are excited with small differences in frequency. In order to determine the relationship between the number of dipole modes excited and the number of rows of rods in the PBG structure, two-row and four-row PBG structures were modeled in addition to the three-row structure. All the other parameters of each structure were unchanged from the three-row PBG structure, with the only change being the number of rows of rods in the structures.

The transverse wake potential impedances defined by

| TABLE III: Dipole modes frequency of different rows PBG |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Dipole Mode | 2-row PBG | 3-row PBG | 4-row PBG |
| $T_{M11}$-like | 23.6 GHz | 23.0 GHz | 22.7 GHz |
| $T_{M12}$-like | 26.6 GHz | 24.8 GHz | 23.9 GHz |
| $T_{M13}$-like | / | 27.5 GHz | 25.7 GHz |
| $T_{M14}$-like | / | / | 27.8 GHz |
FIG. 5: Transverse wake potential impedances: (a) Transverse wake potential impedance in a two-row PBG structure, two dipole mode peaks are at 23.6 GHz and 26.6 GHz; (b) Transverse wake potential impedance in a three-row PBG structure, three dipole mode peaks are at 23.0 GHz, 24.8 GHz and 27.5 GHz; (c) Transverse wake potential impedance in a four-row PBG structure, four dipole mode peaks are at 22.7 GHz, 23.9 GHz, 25.7 GHz and 27.8 GHz

The previous results confirm that the PBG structure provides wake potential damping, although three dipole modes are excited. To compare the strength of the wake potential damping in the PBG structure we compare the wake potential between the PBG structure and an equivalent 7-cell traveling wave disk-loaded waveguide structure with the same iris geometry, as shown in Table IV. We used the CST PS Wakefield module to simulate the equivalent disk-loaded waveguide structure.

The transverse wake potentials for the disk-loaded waveguide structure and the PBG are shown in Fig. 6. From the theory, the quality factor of the PBG structure includes two parts: $Q_{\text{ohm}}$ and $Q_{\text{diff}}$. $Q_{\text{ohm}}$ depends on the ohmic loss of copper, and $Q_{\text{diff}}$ accounts for the diffractive loss in the structure. The $Q_{\text{diff}}$ in the disk-loaded waveguide structure is very large because of the closed cavities, so the total Q in the disk-loaded waveg-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV: Dimensions of the DLW structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometric parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity radius, $b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris thickness, $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris radius, $d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity length, $L$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eq. 4 of these structures are shown in Fig. 5, and dipole modes frequencies are listed in Table III. In the two-row PBG structure, however, there are only two dipole modes; the $TM_{11}$-like mode at 23.6 GHz and the $TM_{12}$-like mode at 26.6 GHz. The impedance of the $TM_{11}$-like mode is higher than that in the three-row PBG, but the impedance of the $TM_{12}$-like mode is much smaller. In the four-row PBG structure there are four dipole modes excited; the $TM_{11}$-like mode at 22.7 GHz, the $TM_{12}$-like mode at 23.9 GHz, the $TM_{13}$-like mode at 25.7 GHz, and the $TM_{14}$-like mode at 27.8 GHz. The highest-impedance dipole mode in the four-row PBG is the $TM_{12}$-like mode, as in the three-row PBG. The impedance of the $TM_{14}$-like mode is smaller by a factor of 4 than that of the highest-impedance dipole mode. From the above three situations, we can find that as the number of rows increases, more dipole modes are excited, but the frequencies of the dipole modes decrease. Unlike the DLW, the dipole modes of the PBG structure are spread out over a narrow frequency range. All modes listed in Table III are confirmed by the field distribution graphs in CST. Although the number of dipole modes are different and the dipole modes are at different frequency, the initial transverse wake potentials in the 2-row, 3-row and 4-row PBG structures are almost the same.
The wake potential in the PBG structure is highly damped within the first 500 mm, whereas the transverse wake potential in the disk-loaded waveguide structure is not significantly damped even at 50000 mm after the drive bunch. This proves that the PBG structure provides significant damping of the transverse wake potential relative to a disk-loaded waveguide structure. Fig. 6(b) shows a detail of the first 100 mm of the transverse wake potential, indicating that the initial amplitude of the transverse wake potential in both structures is approximately the same, but the wake in the PBG structure shows significant damping after the first 30 mm.

Fig. 7 shows the comparison of the transverse spectrum between the PBG and the disk-loaded waveguide structure. Fig. 7(a) shows that in the disk-loaded waveguide structure the $TM_{11\pi}$ mode (25.1 GHz) has an extremely high peak, and the next higher peaks appear at 38.5 GHz and 54.5 GHz. In conclusion, all of these simulations demonstrate the effective damping of wakefields in PBG structures designed for accelerator applications.

V. MULTI-BUNCH SIMULATION AND BEAM LOADING CALCULATION

A six-cell PBG structure with the same iris geometry has been experimentally tested at MIT using the MIT/Haimson accelerator [9]. This experiment was conducted with a train of 1 ps bunches spaced at 17 GHz. This was modeled in the PIC module in CST using a multi-bunch excitation. The simulated PBG structure,
shown in Fig. 1, had 7 cells to be consistent with the other simulations shown here, and to reduce computation time used uniform cells instead of the coupling cells and waveguides used in [9]. The simulation used an on-axis train of 1 ps long bunches separated by 58.1 ps to simulate the long bunch train of the experiment. The total charge of one bunch is 20 pC, corresponding to 344 mA current. The longitudinal E-fields are calculated on-axis.

The longitudinal E-fields at the center of a cell on the beam axis and 35 mm off the beam axis are calculated in CST, showing that most of the energy in the fields is in the fundamental mode, with the second and third harmonics observed approximately 30 dB below the fundamental. The magnitude spectrum of the longitudinal E-field calculated outside the structure about 35 mm away from the beam reveal that the fundamental mode is well confined to the defect area, but the second harmonic, whose frequency is in the pass-band of the lattice, propagates out of the lattice with a small decrease in amplitude. Three dipole modes can be recognized with much lower energy. Due to the coherence, the fields at the beam train’s frequency (17.2 GHz) and its harmonics (34.4 GHz, 51.6 GHz, etc) are enhanced, so the energy in the dipole modes is much lower than the fundamental modes and the harmonics. The calculated E-field at the fundamental is about 10dB lower than that at the second harmonic, which agrees well with the experimental results measured outside the chamber window [9].

As a check on the applicability of the simulation results to experiment, we can compare the beam loading in the simulation to the beam loading in the theory and the experiment [9]. From the theory [29], the longitudinal electric field induced by the beam after traversing a distance \( l \) should be:

\[
E_b = ir_s (1 - e^{-\omega l})
\]  

(5)

where, \( i \) is the beam current, \( r_s \) is the shunt impedance per unit length and \( I \) is the voltage loss per unit length. \( I \) can be described as a function of frequency \( (\omega) \), group velocity \( (V_g) \), and quality factor \( (Q) \) of the structure [30]:

\[
I = \frac{\omega}{2V_g Q}
\]  

(6)

From Eq. 5 and Eq. 6, we can calculate the magnitude of the E-field induced by the bunched beam. The theoretical magnitude of \( E_b \) in the middle of the fifth cell (i.e. at \( z = 32.1 \text{mm} \)), is calculated to be \( 3.4 \times 10^6 \text{ V/m} \), using \( V_g = 0.013 c \), \( r_s = 98 \text{M}\Omega/m \), \( Q = 4200 \) [8], and beam current \( i = 344 \text{ mA} \). In the CST simulation the steady-state amplitude of \( E_b \) measured on-axis in the middle of the fifth cell, when driven by a train of on-axis bunches, is observed to be \( 4.6 \times 10^6 \text{ V/m} \). This result agrees reasonably well with the theory.

We can calculate the induced power using:

\[
P_b = \frac{E_b^2}{2r_s} = \frac{E_b^2 V_g Q}{\omega r_s} = \left( \frac{i^2 r_s}{2I} \right) (1 - e^{-\omega l})^2
\]  

(7)

Substituting the Eq. 6 into the Eq. 7, we obtain:

\[
P_b = \left( \frac{i^2 r_s V_g Q}{\omega} \right) \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\omega l}{2V_g Q} \right) \right)^2
\]  

(8)

Because \( \frac{\omega l}{2V_g Q} \) is much smaller than 1, we can use Taylor series expansion to get the following expression:

\[
P_b = i^2 \omega l^2 r_s
\]  

(9)

Using the steady-state field amplitude from the simulation and Eq. 7, the electromagnetic power induced by the beam in the simulation is calculated to be 33 kW. By comparison the theoretical power induced by the beam after 32.1 mm is 18 kW. From [9] we can extrapolate the experimental value of the power induced by a 344 mA current beam, giving a value of approximately 13 kW in a 6-cell PBG structure with two ports coupling the field at the first and the sixth cells. This coupling makes the effective length of the structure at the measurement location shorter by approximately the length of one full cell, making the effective length 26.7 mm instead of the 32.1 mm used in the simulation. In Eq 8 we can see that the power induced by the beam scales as the square of the length. If we scale the power predicted by the CST result we find a beam induced power of 23 kW. This represents reasonable agreement between the power induced by the beam in the simplified geometry used in the simulation and the power induced by the beam that was observed experimentally.

VI. CONCLUSION

The longitudinal and transverse wake potentials excited by an electron beam in a traveling-wave PBG structure have been simulated using CST Particle Studio. Simulation of a disc-loaded waveguide structure with the same iris geometry shows that the PBG structure can effectively confine the fundamental mode and damp the dipole modes excited by the bunch. While the results presented here are for 7-cell structures, simulations with 6-cell structures have shown excellent agreement.

The wake potential impedances for the longitudinal and transverse wakes were calculated from the time-domain wake potentials using Matlab. Numerical filters were used to isolate each mode from the wake potential spectrum. This frequency information was then used to recover the contribution to the wake potential from each mode; this provides the \( 1/\epsilon \) decay distance for each mode.
The modes observed in CST simulations of the PBG structure were confirmed using single-cell eigenmode simulations in HFSS. The single-cell simulations were used to confirm the frequency of the observed mode, and then to provide a Q-factor for that mode for quantitative comparison. These simulations showed reasonable agreement between the decay distances of the wakes observed in the frequency domain (HFSS) and particle-in-cell (CST) simulations for the fundamental mode and the three lowest-frequency dipole-like modes.

The combination of particle-in-cell (CST) and frequency-domain (HFSS) simulations provides a effective method by which to study the wakefield damping in the latest PBG structures. The testing of these structures, e.g., the elliptical-rod PBG structure tested at SLAC by MIT and described in [27], has focused on achieving the highest gradient possible in a PBG structure. Achieved gradient cannot be easily predicted from simulation, so the use of PIC simulations for wakefield damping allows the experimental efforts to continue to focus on achieved gradient.

The PBG lattice was found to have dipole-like modes with peaks in field amplitude within the lattice, giving rise to three dipole modes which are excited with similar amplitudes over a 4 GHz frequency range in the three-row PBG lattice. CST simulations confirmed that the number of distinct dipole modes in the PBG lattice is determined by the number of rows of rods in the structure. This means that, while going to a larger number of rows of rows gives a larger diffractive Q-factor for the fundamental mode, more dipole modes are supported in these larger structures.

A comparison of the transverse wake potentials of the PBG and DLW structures shows that the PBG structure does not significantly alter the initial excitation of HOMs, based on the initial amplitude of the wake potential, but the PBG does damp the wake potential much faster than the DLW structure. This validates the use of the HOM Q as a design metric for future PBG structures.

In addition to the single bunch simulations used to investigate the wake potential in the PBG structure, a bunch train spaced at the fundamental frequency of the structure was also simulated. This reflects the micro-bunched beam used the MIT experiment to study wakefields in the traveling-wave PBG structure. The full experimental geometry could not be simulated, but the beam loading calculated in the CST simulation agrees with the experimental beam loading.
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